

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
Alexandria Division**

UNITED STATES, et al.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	No. 1:23-cv-00108-LMB-JFA
	)	
GOOGLE LLC,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**JOINT PROPOSAL REGARDING  
THE ADJUDICATION OF EQUITABLE REMEDIES**

PURSUANT TO the Court’s Order (ECF No. 1411) the parties have met and conferred and submit this joint response proposing a schedule for the adjudication of equitable remedies.

1. The federal government and seventeen states (“Plaintiffs”) filed this antitrust action against Defendant, Google LLC (“Google”), alleging certain antitrust violations concerning digital advertising technology markets (ECF No. 120) (“*Amended Complaint*”).

2. Following the presentation of evidence, post-trial briefing, and closing arguments, the Court issued a liability ruling in this matter on April 17, 2025 (ECF No. 1410).

3. On the same day, the Court issued an Order instructing the parties within seven (7) days to “submit a joint proposed schedule for briefing and arguing their positions as to the remedies that should be imposed” in light of the Court having found the Defendant liable on Counts I, II, and IV of the Amended Complaint (ECF No. 1411).

4. The parties submit that an evidentiary hearing is both necessary and appropriate for the adjudication of potential equitable remedies. The parties also submit that limited additional fact discovery is both necessary and appropriate for the adjudication of potential equitable remedies (*see* EFC No. 283 at 3-4).

5. During the merits-adjudication phase, Plaintiffs sought clarification of the pretrial schedule regarding the timing, nature, and extent of discovery that would occur regarding equitable remedies if Google were found liable (ECF Nos. 276 & 277). The Court (Hon. John F. Anderson, United States Magistrate Judge) granted relief to Plaintiffs and entered an order providing that were liability to be found, the Court would then “promptly convene a status conference to discuss whether plaintiffs wish to pursue equitable relief . . . , what equitable relief plaintiffs intend to pursue, the schedule for exchanging expert reports addressing the specific equitable remedy or remedies being sought, the schedule for briefing by the parties, and a date for a hearing” (ECF No. 283 at 3).

6. Only equitable remedies are now at issue (*Amended Complaint*, ¶ 342, subparagraphs 6-9). The predicate event for adjudication of equitable remedies is the parties’ identification of proposed equitable remedies, which is the first event in the schedule. Those proposed remedies will then set the parameters for appropriate fact and expert discovery.

7. Pursuant to the Court’s Order (ECF No. 1411), the parties have met and conferred and submit the following proposal for the schedule for limited fact and expert discovery pertaining to equitable remedies:

Event	Date
The parties will each file with the Court their proposals for appropriate remedies in this matter.	May 5, 2025
Factual discovery on the parties' proposals begins.	Immediately
The parties will each file with the Court their response to the opposing party's proposal for the appropriate remedies in this matter.	May 19, 2025
Factual discovery on the parties' proposals closes.	June 30, 2025
The parties shall simultaneously serve expert reports and disclosures consistent with Rule 26(a)(2).	July 7, 2025
The parties shall simultaneously serve opposition expert reports and disclosures.	July 28, 2025
The parties shall simultaneously serve reply reports.	August 11, 2025
Expert discovery on the parties' proposals closes.	August 27, 2025
The parties will each file proposed orders reflecting the appropriate remedies in this matter.	September 5, 2025
The parties will each file responses to the opposing party's proposal for the appropriate remedies in this matter.	September 19, 2025
The parties' proposed remedies trial commencement date. <sup>1</sup>	September 22, 2025
The parties shall file post-hearing briefs.	2 weeks after the close of trial

6. The parties respectfully submit that this proposed schedule will permit the reasonably prompt and orderly adjudication of equitable remedies.

WHEREFORE, the parties request that the Court adopt the proposed schedule. A proposed order is submitted herewith.

---

<sup>1</sup> If September 22, 2025, is not available to the Court for the commencement of the remedies trial, the parties request that they be permitted to meet and confer to propose other mutually available dates.

Dated: April 24, 2025

**JOINTLY SUBMITTED:**

**FOR PLAINTIFFS:**

ERIK S. SIEBERT  
United States Attorney

/s/ Gerard Mene  
GERARD MENE  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
2100 Jamieson Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
Telephone: (703) 299-3777  
Facsimile: (703) 299-3983  
Email: [Gerard.Mene@usdoj.gov](mailto:Gerard.Mene@usdoj.gov)

/s/ Julia Tarver Wood  
JULIA TARVER WOOD  
/s/ Michael E. Wolin  
MICHAEL E. WOLIN  
United States Department of Justice  
Antitrust Division  
450 Fifth Street NW, Suite 7100  
Washington, DC 20530  
Telephone: (202) 307-0077  
Fax: (202) 616-8544  
Email: [Julia.Tarver.Wood@usdoj.gov](mailto:Julia.Tarver.Wood@usdoj.gov)

Attorneys for the United States

JASON S. MIYARES  
Attorney General of Virginia

/s/ Tyler T. Henry  
TYLER T. HENRY  
Assistant Attorney General

Office of the Attorney General of Virginia  
202 North Ninth Street  
Richmond, VA 23219  
Telephone: (804) 692-0485  
Facsimile: (804) 786-0122  
Email: [thenry@oag.state.va.us](mailto:thenry@oag.state.va.us)

Attorneys for the Commonwealth of Virginia  
and local counsel for the States of Arizona,  
California, Colorado, Connecticut, Illinois,  
Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, New  
Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North  
Carolina, Rhode Island, Tennessee,  
Washington, and West Virginia

**FOR GOOGLE:**

Eric Mahr (*pro hac vice*)  
Julie S. Elmer (*pro hac vice*)  
Andrew J. Ewalt (*pro hac vice*)  
FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS  
DERINGER US LLP  
700 13th Street NW, 10th Floor  
Washington, DC 20005  
Telephone: (202) 777-4500  
Facsimile: (202) 777-4555  
eric.mahr@freshfields.com  
julie.elmer@freshfields.com  
andrew.ewalt@freshfields.com

Justina Sessions (*pro hac vice*)  
FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS  
DERINGER US LLP  
855 Main Street  
Redwood City, CA 94063  
Telephone: (650) 618-9250  
justina.sessions@freshfields.com

Daniel S. Bitton (*pro hac vice*)  
AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDER LLP  
55 2nd Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (415) 490-2000  
Facsimile: (415) 490-2001  
dbitton@axinn.com

/s/ Craig C. Reilly  
CRAIG C. REILLY (VSB # 20942)  
209 Madison Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
Telephone: (703) 549-5354  
Facsimile: (703) 549-5355  
craig.reilly@ccreillylaw.com

Karen L. Dunn (*pro hac vice*)  
Jeannie Rhee (*pro hac vice*)  
William Isaacson (*pro hac vice*)  
Amy J. Mauser (*pro hac vice*)  
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &  
GARRISON LLP  
2001 K Street NW  
Washington, DC 20006  
Telephone: (202) 223-7300  
Facsimile: (202) 223-7420  
kdunn@paulweiss.com  
jrhee@paulweiss.com  
wisaacson@paulweiss.com  
amauser@paulweiss.com

Bradley Justus (VSB # 80533)  
David Pearl (*pro hac vice*)  
AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDER LLP  
1901 L Street NW  
Washington, DC 20036  
Telephone: (202) 912-4700  
Facsimile: (202) 912-4701  
bjustus@axinn.com  
dpearl@axinn.com

*Counsel for Google LLC*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
Alexandria Division**

UNITED STATES, et al.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	No. 1:23-cv-00108-LMB-JFA
	)	
GOOGLE LLC,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**ORDER REGARDING  
THE ADJUDICATION OF EQUITABLE REMEDIES**

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the parties' joint response to the order (ECF No. 1411) directing the parties to submit this joint response proposing a schedule for the adjudication of equitable remedies. Upon consideration of the parties' joint response, and it otherwise appearing proper to do so, it is hereby

ORDERED that the adjudication of potential equitable remedies shall be conducted under the following schedule:

Event	Date
The parties will each file with the Court their proposals for appropriate remedies in this matter.	May 5, 2025
Factual discovery on the parties' proposals begins.	Immediately
The parties will each file with the Court their response to the opposing party's proposal for the appropriate remedies in this matter.	May 19, 2025
Factual discovery on the parties' proposals closes.	June 30, 2025
The parties shall simultaneously serve expert reports and disclosures consistent with Rule 26(a)(2).	July 7, 2025
The parties shall simultaneously serve opposition expert reports and disclosures.	July 28, 2025
The parties shall simultaneously serve reply reports.	August 11, 2025
Expert discovery on the parties' proposals closes.	August 27, 2025
The parties will each file proposed orders reflecting the appropriate remedies in this matter.	September 5, 2025
The parties will each file responses to the opposing party's proposal for the appropriate remedies in this matter.	September 19, 2025
The parties' proposed remedies trial commencement date.	September 22, 2025
The parties shall file post-hearing briefs.	2 weeks after the close of trial

ENTERED the \_\_\_\_\_ day of April 2025.

Alexandria, Virginia

\_\_\_\_\_  
Leonie M. Brinkema  
United States District Judge